

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,372	11/20/2006	Luca Barbero	279681US0PCT	4351
OBLON, SPIN	7590 04/03/200 VAK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			GUDIBANDE, SATYANARAYAN R	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) BARBERO ET AL. 10/554,372 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SATYANARAYANA R. 1654 GUDIBANDE -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply WHICHEVER IS LONGER FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WITHOUTEVER JS LONGER, I ROWN ITHE WALLING DATE OF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.75(g), in re- search of the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of # INO period for repty is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repty with ty statute, cause the Any repty received by the Office start than throe months after the making date of it earned pattern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parter.	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-26 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-26 are subject to restriction and	a consideration.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted c Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PCT * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the office action for a list o	been received. been received in Application No uments have been received in this National Stage Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) 1) Molice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Apolication
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Notice of informal Patent Application Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10 and 12, are drawn to a peptide or a salt thereof comprising an amino acid sequence of formula I (SEQ ID NO: 1).

Group II, claim(s) 13-26, are drawn to a method of treating at least one of Alzheimer's disease, dementia pugilistica, etc., by administering a peptide of formula II (SEQ ID NO: 3).

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I and II lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require the technical feature of peptides of formulae I and II respectively, this technical feature is not a special technical feature as it does not make a contribution over the prior art in view of WO 00/63246 (Blaschuck). The special technical feature of group II is the administration of peptide of formula II (SEQ ID NO: 3) which is as shown below:

 $X_1[Lys\ X_2\ X_3\ Phe\ Gln]_m\ Arg\ Gln\ Ile\ [Lys\ X_4\ X_5\ Phe\ Gln]_n\ X.$

Application/Control Number: 10/554,372

Art Unit: 1654

Blaschuck discloses the internalization of the sequence RQIKIWFQNRRMKWKK (claim 31 of Blaschuck) which corresponds to the SEQ ID NO: 3, as shown below:

Instant SEQ ID NO: 3	Blaschuck (SEQ ID NO: 33)	
Arg	R	
Gln	Q	
Ile	I	
Lys	K	
X ₄	I	
X ₅	W	
Phe	F	
Gln	Q	
X =1-8 amino acids comprising at least one basic amino acid	NRRMKWKK = 8 amino acids, comprises Lys and Arg	

with X_1 being absent and m=0, n=1 as recited in instant claim 13 that reads on the special technical feature of group II.

Election of species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species of peptides of formulae I and II.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to of peptide with a SEQ ID NO., which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: 1-10 and 12-26.

2 Species of disease conditions such as Alzheimer's disease, dementia pugilistica.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species of disease condition, which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim, Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: 13-25.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of Application/Control Number: 10/554,372

Art Unit: 1654

election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1654

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satyanarayana R. Gudibande whose telephone number is 571-272-8146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654